

**RECEIVED**  
**CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

FEB 14 2005

Patent  
Docket No. 820601-1020

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of: )  
FOCKE, Heinz et al. ) Group Art Unit: 3721  
Serial No.: 09/724,016 ) Examiner: Tawfik, S.  
Filed: 28 November 2000 )  
For: PROCESS FOR PRODUCING )  
HINGE-LID BOXES FOR CIGARETTES )

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Mail Stop: AF  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The Advisory Action, Paper No. 11292004, from Examiner Sameh Tawfik of Art Unit 3721, mailed December 1, 2004, has been received and carefully reviewed. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of the pending claims presented in the Final Office Action mailed September 13, 2005. No new matter is believed introduced herein as the claims are not amended. A Petition for a two-month extension of time is submitted herewith.

**AUTHORIZATION TO DEBIT ACCOUNT**

A Petition for Extension of time for two months is enclosed with this Request for Reconsideration. It is not believed that any additional fees are required beyond those which may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, any additional fees that are required (including fees for net addition of claims) are hereby authorized to be charged to deposit account no. 20-0778.

Applicant thanks Examiner Tawfik for the two telephone interviews permitted to discuss and clarify the Advisory Action and the current rejection of the claims. During the two telephone interviews Chinese patent '415, as represented by its counterpart U.S. Patent No. 5,701,725, was discussed. Applicant sincerely believes that this reference does not support the rejection of the presently pending claims and suggests, therefore, that withdrawal of the rejection is in order. Applicant presented some of the reasons why it is believed the Chinese '415 patent does not support the rejection during the interviews. These reasons are presented in more detail below to allow the Examiner a better opportunity to consider Applicant's comments than by way of a telephone interview.